Rutgers University – School of Nursing-Camden (SNC) Faculty Peer Teaching Evaluation Form

Directions:

Please complete the below evaluation using the Likert scale provided. Prior to the observation date, the faculty member being observed must provide the assigned observer with a copy of the syllabus, access to the course shell, and a copy of any handouts/slides that will be used in the class on the observation date. After the debriefing meeting, please provide a copy of the peer evaluation to the faculty member to be used accordingly.

Please rate the faculty member on the following behaviors using this Likert Scale:

- **4= Exceeds Expectations**
- 3=Meets Expectations
- 2= Does not meet Expectations
- 1= Not Applicable.

		4	3	2	1
Organization of	Presented measurable objectives using Bloom's Taxonomy in the	X			
Content	beginning of the lecture				
	Presented topics with a logical sequence	X			
	Paced lesson appropriately	X			
	Summarized major points	X			
	Material presented was appropriate to student level of education	X			
	Linked new material with content previously learned	X			
Faculty Student	Encouraged student questions & discussion	X			
Interactions	Maintained student attention and control of the classroom	X			
	Responded professionally & respectfully to student questions/concerns	X			
	Challenged students to think critically	X			
Presentation	Projected voice so easily heard	X			
	Explained content & ideas clearly	X			
	Presented examples to clarify points	X			
	Inquired about clinical experiences, connecting knowledge with				X
	clinical presentation				
	Utilized slides appropriately (did not just read off of slides)	X			
Instructional	Materials were easy to read and supported the presentation	X			
Materials	Assigned readings could easily be found on the syllabus and in the		X		
	technology learning platform				
	Syllabus included all relevant program and course information	X			

	APA format was followed for all references on slides		X
	Course shell was organized	X	
Knowledge of	Demonstrated knowledge and expertise in subject matter	X	
Material	Made distinctions between fact, opinion, and falsehoods	X	
	Presented alternative view-points when appropriate	X	
	Majority of presentation content was relevant and targeted presentation	X	
	objectives		
Use of	Technology was integrated into the lecture/presentation	X	
Technology	Amount of technology used in the presentation was appropriate	X	
Demeanor	Faculty member focused on meeting objectives of presentation	X	
	Faculty member seemed generally interested in the course material	X	
	Faculty member had a positive attitude	X	
	Class started and ended on time	X	

Which of the concepts below were integrated into the presentation? (Please circle all that apply)

a.	QSEN
a.	OBEN

- b. Culture
- c. Leadership
- d. Delegation
- e. Evidence Based Practice
- f. Population Health
- g. Standards of Practice

Which of the following teaching techniques were used in the presentation? (Please circle all that apply)

a. Lecture

b Problem based learning

- c. Case studies
- d. Student group presentations
- e. Role playing
- f. Flipped classroom
- g. Concept maps
- h. Muddiest points

i. Other:	0 & A >
1. 20101.	0×11

What types of technology were used during the presentation? (Please circle all that apply)

- a. Videos
- b. Simulation
- c. Socrative or questioning platform
- d Slides
- e. Voicethread
- f. Weblinks
- g. Other: _____
- h. None used
- i. N/A

1. What overall impression do you think students left this lesson within terms of content or style?

Dr. Wunnenberg's class was well organized and began on time. The learning objectives for the class were reviewed at the beginning of the class in the form of an outline. Dr. Wunnenberg kept student attention and engaged them to participate with minimal prompting. Students were given clear instructions regarding the nature of the class for that day. Dr. Wunnenberg differentiated between the concepts related to the analysis and evaluation of test questions and gave clear examples related to content validity, reliability and item analysis.

2. List the major teaching strengths of the faculty member as demonstrated in this observation.

Dr. Wunnenberg clearly conveys a passion for teaching that inspires students and fosters a learning community. She engages students in interactive discussions and fosters curiosity and a spirit of inquiry. The course Canvas platform is well organized and easy to navigate and locate information. Power point slides were simple and easy to read and comprehend. Virtual class participation and engagement was well established and her use of real examples from practice drive current standards for academic nursing education.

3. What areas for improvement were noted in this observation? Please provide some suggestions for improving upon this faculty member's teaching skills.

There are no specific recommendations for improvement currently. Dr. Wunnenberg is a highly experienced and effective nurse educator. It was a pleasure to observe her style of delivery and well-developed pedagogy. Her passion and commitment to developing the next generation of nurse educators is well demonstrated. Rutgers University is fortunate to have Dr. Wunnenberg on faculty in that she promotes academic excellence while being mindful of diversity, inclusion and equity.

Thank you, Dr. Martin Manno	
Debriefing Meeting held on:6/29/2022	
Faculty Member received a copy of this evaluation:6/29/2022	
Faculty response to the evaluation:	